



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the fact that money is paid to them by the party to be expended for legitimate election expenses. Therefore, a court of equity has no jurisdiction to enjoin the chairman of such committee from changing the names of duly-elected members of the committee, or from filling vacancies on such committee with the names of persons not elected, in violation of the rules of the party. Cf. *McKane v. Adams*, 123 N. Y. 609, 25 N. E. 1057.

PROPERTY—PARTY WALLS—REVIVAL OF EASEMENT—DOUGLAS ET AL. V. COONLEY ET AL., 51 N. E. REP. (N. Y.) 283.—Plaintiffs acquired by purchase an easement to pass up and down a stairway situated between two adjoining buildings, also an easement in the party wall, which easements were suspended by the total destruction of the buildings by fire. *Held*, that by the reconstruction of the buildings, including the stairway and party wall, as they existed originally, the easement revived. Gray and Bartlett, J. J., dissenting.

PROPERTY—PERCOLATING WATER—APPROPRIATION—EASEMENT—PRESCRIPTION—LIMITATIONS—INJUNCTION—TRESPASS—CRESCENT MIN. CO. V. SILVER KING MIN. CO., 54 Pac (Utah) 244.—Defendant owned valuable mining property, and a considerable distance therefrom water to supply. He therefore dug a trench and inserted a pipe line under the surface of plaintiff's intervening land, which was unused and worthless. It appeared that the damage to plaintiff was nominal only, and that to restrain the laying of the pipe would cause defendant irreparable damage, and destroy a very large industry in the State. *Held*, plaintiff had a complete remedy at law, and would be required to resort thereto for the recovery of damages attending the alleged trespass. *Held*, further, that no easement could be acquired in the land without plaintiff's acquiescence in the alleged trespass. McCarty, Distr. J., dissenting.

PROPERTY—SUCCESSION TAX—PROPERTY SUBJECT—LEGACY TO CREDITOR. *In re GOULD'S ESTATES*, 51 N. E. Rep. (N. Y.) 287.—Mr. Jay Gould provided in his will that his son George J. should receive for his services to his business interests the sum of five million dollars, to be paid at his death by his executors and trustees. *Held*, that since George J. Gould accepted the legacy in payment of his claim as creditor, it is a transfer within the meaning of Laws 1892, c. 399, declaring that "a tax shall be and hereby is imposed upon the transfer of any property * * * when the transfer is by will."

BOOK REVIEWS.

General Digest, American and English, Annotated, New Series, Vol. V. 1898. Lawyer's Co-operative Publishing Co., Rochester, N. Y. Sheep, pp. 2093.

This Digest contains all cases officially reported, and those not to be officially reported during the first half of this year. It leaves nothing to be desired in the way of completeness, embracing the higher courts of England and the Supreme Court of Canada. The special value of this Digest, aside from its presenting the very latest law on all points, is in the excellent and thorough system of annotation. Where cases do not themselves present the precise point required, it often happens that the Court has, in its decision, cited authorities which are exactly what is wanted, either for analogy or differentiation. Such citations, embraced in the decision of the case digested, are themselves digested, thus offering all the lawyer could wish in the way of a clue upon any point.